Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/584,232	GREDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason B. Dunham	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application	
(1) <u>Jason B. Dunham</u> .	(3)	
(2) Katrina Lyon.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>13 February 2007</u>	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: All		·
Prior art documents discussed: Raveis (US 6,321,202 B1) - general discussion		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a value of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Dunham and Katrina Lyon, attorney of record for the application, discussed amendments to independent claims 1 and 10 to more positively recite the novel features of the present application. The examiner indicated to Ms. Lyon that upon cancelation of claims 4,6-7,9,14,16-17,19-22,24-27, and 30 and examiner's amendment regarding how the inferred criteria was generated to the remaining claims, the application would be placed in condition for allowance. Ms. Lyon indicated that the examiner should proceed with the discussed amendments to the claims.